App. F. IX-4

1930 / ~~1931-32~~ ( )

Previous References
L. B 83-30 (16, 37, 40, 41, 42) L. B

File No. 83 — 30
Serial Nos. 48 & 51

Later References
L B 79-32 86 1 & 2
L B 35-83 86 40, 9, 13 & 16.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Two.

Part B for June 1932

SUBJECT.

Report of the Committee appointed to consider the Question of Constituting a Separate Engineering Establishment for the District Boards in the State

---

51 / To The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
Comptroller to Govt.
Presidents of Dist Boards
Dy: Commissioners of the State
Compiler Mysore Gazette

L. 7570-86 dt 9/11 May 1932

Statistical It is not expedient to try the scheme even as an experimental measure etc

9/7/32

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 88 of 193 0.

Serial No. 48

From the Chief Engineer
No 5311 Dy 7.11 31

Submits for orders the report of the Committee appointed vide G.O. 4L4970-9/4B83-30-40 Dy 10.12.30 to consider the question of constituting a separate Engineering Estt for the District Boards in the State

A brief history of the question regarding with the year 1874 up to the date of appointment of the Committee in December 1930 is given in paras 3 to 5 of the report and the allegations complaints against the present arrangement have been dealt with at paras 7 and 8. The Committee observe at para 14 that "though the time is quite opportune to have brought up the proposition, which entails a larger addl expe both to the D Bds & to Govt yet, on account of the repeated representations that are being made in the R.A & the L.C the Official members are prepared to recommend a trial being given to the scheme for a period of 3 years in the Mysore Dt Mysore is selected as being the biggest District where the expe is also nearly two thirds

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

2182

(3)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 83 of 1930.

Serial No.

Dt 15.9 of Mysore Com
cess will bear
the full cost viz.
Rs 30200/. The scheme
may be tried experi
mentally here as
proposed by the
Committee

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
24 NOV. 1931
No. 3292

If Members
Hon'ble
final seq.

19-11
VS.
23/11

H 1665/20-11-1931

Being an impt
matter the report of the Com^{ee}
may, if the Dewan approves,
be circulated to the members & dl
discussed at a meeting

A

Dewan

28/11

25/11 VS

DEWAN'S OFFICE
3733
26. NOV. 1931

Cussen with
Corps

4/12

VS

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

HIS HIGHNESS'S PARTY
2 DEC. 1931

Circulation Sheet placed
below for signature

KB
2/12

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

RB
2/12

5

4

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 160

8 1931-32

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT

(clause xii of the schedule)

Report of the District Board Engineering Establishment Committee, [redacted].

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

R. Rapson

Chief Secretary to Government.

2nd December 1931.

First Member of Council.

Second Member of Council.

D2

5

£2182

Subject.

160/C

Report of the District Board Engineering Establishment Committee.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

WSLe in Council
Bradshaw
MM
16/2/32

14) The Council consider that it is not expedient to give a separate supervising staff to the DL Boards, even as an experimental measure for the DL, as proposed by the Reasons given in the draft order

MM
16/2/32

15) General Secretary with Compts)

PR
18

16) Asst Secy. L.S.G.)

17) Draft to make [illegible]
MM
16/2

Separate engrg estt for Dt Boards L 2182 (6

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No 12 of 1930.

Serial No.

18) Draft G.O. sub'd for consideration,

MB 24/2

26 Typed draft is put up as directed,

MB 27/2 20) Please have the draft retyped as pointed noted.

21) Draft typed as revised put up, Asst 1/3

MB 3/3 (Typed) Asst 3/3

6015 4/3

22) Forwarded to the Chief Engr and P.W. Secy with compliments for favour of suggestions if any on perusal of the Comte. Note and the office copy. /

4.3.32 Asst 7/3

no. 290 dy 18.3.32

23) Reference is invited to the 1st para on page 12 of the report of the Committee wherein it is stated that the average annual expenditure of the Mysore District Board under "A" and "B" public Works is Rs 1,00,000 without taking into account the contributions to special works which are exceptional in character. With this figure for the average expenditure. the cost of separate Engineering Establishment works out to 30 per cent and not 24 per cent as noted in para 3 of the draft G.O.

M. N. Ranganna
Chief Engineer of Mysore

GENERAL-SECRETARY 17 MAR. 1932 No. L.S.G.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Financial Secretary (General) with compliments. 15/3/32

L B 83-30-5

9 MAR. GEN'S
L. S. B. BRAHUN

Public Works Secretariat | File No. 3556

Serial No.

Subject: Separate Engineering establishment for the District Boards.

-m 13
25/1/32

Nr 2213 8/8/32

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government.

20 2. An extract from an article from the Engineering News Record - 31st December 1931 on "Pensylvania adds Local Roads to State system" is herewith attached. It will be seen therefrom that even in such an advanced state like Pensylvania it was considered to be a logical reasoning that the absorption of local roads into the State system would generally ensure superior administration and Engineering and greater resources of equipment and opportunity for purchasing and controlling materials. In point of capacity to manage their own officers on District Boards cannot by any means be compared to advanced States in America and what applies to them applies with greater force here.

30 I thought this article might be of some interest in connection with the report of the Committee appointed by Government to go into the question of "Separate Engineering Establishment for District Boards" forwarded with this office No. 5311 dated the 7th November 1931.

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

31 Sd for perusal.

32 In connection with this report one committee and the question of

(TSRN)

Note--Contn. sheet

WD 762—GPB—3,000—17-10-30

Separate Engineering Cadre for P.W.D. Boards

3556

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 83 of 1930.

(9

Serial No.

Separate engineering cadre for P.W.Ds. in Mysore — it was decided in Council that it is not desirable to try the scheme even as an experimental measure in one District (viz. Mysore) as recommended by the Committee. That view has been separately dealt with a draft order

W.B. 16/3 Sd.

93 The receipt of the telegram awaited

17/8/32 94 The file has been sent back from the chief by rail to Jeur Secy Yesterday. This matter placed in that file when was sent to the Members

19/3

95 I don't see the object of the D.F.O. Roads are provincialised Whether the P.W.D. have roads or not it will only be necessary Cost to the State

10/8.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
MAY 1932

812

Dr. Venkatachar
Asst. Engineer

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

Report of the Dt Bd Engrg Estt Committee.

~~2188~~ 2182

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 83 of 193 0

Serial No.

36 The draft has been added to & submitted as directed

37 The complaints of the Dt Bds detailed on page 8 of the report (and para 2 of the draft) have been discussed and refuted in para 8 of the Committee's report ~~Drafter~~ The replies of the committee to the several complaints have also been incorporated in the draft As regards the issue of instructions on the matter of furnishing the fullest possible information to the Dt Bds in respect of Dt Fund works the file will after issue be sent to the Chief Engr for necessary action

A sentence added in the draft to this effect

GENERAL SECRETARY. 14 APR. 1932 No 2924

MB 2/4 7/4/32

38 Please have the draft typed as revised

Hm 9/4

39 Typed draft submd.

MB 12/4

(Genl Secy)

Hm 13/4

T Menon

16/4 Hm 18/4

GENERAL SECRETARY. 8 APR. 1932 8867

We may be shown to secy Mo D. before issue for any suggestions.

16-4-32

40

Secy D Pn with compts

16.4 Hm 19/4

41 I have indicated my suggestions in pencil which may kindly be incorporated if there is no objection

(Dr Secretary with compts)

MBP 21.4.32

GENERAL SECRETARY. 25 APR. 1932 No. 3448

25/4

Hm

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Extract from the Engineering New Record -- December 31, 1931.

Pennsylvania Adds Local Roads to State System.

Renovation and maintenance of 20,000 miles of township roads absorbed by state introduces complex engineering and financing problem.

---

x x x

DEVELOPMENT OF PLAN.

The act for increasing the mileage of state highways by takin over main township roads had its incentive in popular demand for bett local roads. The first state activity in highway development began i 1903 with state aid to countries and townships. In 1911 the legislature created a state system of highways, which was variously added in succeeding years. Following the creation of the state system a plan of state awards was developed, the purpose of which was to encourage township road improvement by state subsidies. By 1931 the state system had increased to 13,562 miles, of which 10,448 miles had been hard surfaced and the remaining 3.114 miles had been graded and drained; all 13,562 miles was under effective state maintenance. I addition, under the subsidy plan, the state had had a hand in and an extended acquaintance with prgress in township road improvement.

With the state road system largely improved and with increasin demands for better local roads, the questions were: Should the state roads be raised to higher types of improvement, or should the money be spent for improving local roads? If local-road improvement was decided on, should the money go to the local authorities for their highway uses, or should the state highway syatem be increased by adding local roads? The answer to the first question, largely influenced by the belief and the policital activity of Governor Clifford Pinchot, was to improve local roads. The second question was answere by the more logical reasoning that absorption of local roads into th state system would generally insure superior administration and engineering and greater resources of equipment and opportunity for purchasing and controlling materials.

Decision on these answers was crystallized by introducin into the state legislature a bill placing in the state highway syster

LB 83-30-48

14

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

No. 5311

CENTRAL OFFICE;

Encl. - One Report.

DATED 7/11 NOVEMBER 1931.

From

M.G. RANGAIYA, ESQ., B.A., B.E., M.R.San.I., M.Am. A.E.,

Chief Engineer of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E .

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 11 NOV. 1931 No. 28

GEN & REV. SECTT. 2182 12 NOV. 1931 BRANCH

To

H.V. RAMASWAMY, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government,

General Department.

DISTRICT BOARD ENGINEERING ESTABLISHMENT.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward for orders of Government, the report of the Committee appointed in the G.O.No.L. 4972-91/L.B. 83-30-40 dated the 10th December 1930, to consider the question of constituting a separate Engineering Establishment for District Boards and to submit their views thereon.

2. Though the last meeting of the Committee was held on the 13th July 1931, yet the final report could not be prepared earlier than this as its circulation among t h e Members took sometime. Mr. B.S.Puttaswamy one of the ---- Members, has not signed the report, as by the time the --- draft report was ready for circulation he left for England. - But he has agreed during the fourth meeting of the Committee to the recommendations made at that meeting.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M G Rangaiya

CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE.

6/11/31

N.J.P.

REPORT

OF THE

DISTRICT BOARD ENGINEERING ESTABLISHMENT COMMITTEE

1931.

N. J. P.

.REPORT
of the
DISTRICT BOARD ENGINEERING ESTABLISHMENT COMMITTEE

Constituted in

G.O.No. 4972-9/L.B. 83-30-40 dated the

10th December 1930.

= = =: 0 := = =

N.J.P.

R E P O R T

of the

DISTRICT BOARD ENGINEERING ESTABLISHMENT COMMITTEE.

= = = 00 = = =

Table of contents.

		Page.
I.	PRELIMINARY	
	Constitution and Personnel of the Committee.	1 to 5
	A Review of the Committee's work.	
	A Brief History of the --- question.	
II.	THE PRESENT MANAGEMENT OF DISTRICT BOARD WORKS BY THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.	6 to 10
	The relations between the P.W.D. and the District Boards.	
	Defects of the existing - system.	
III.	THE COST OF SEPARATE ENGINEERING ESTA- BLISHMENT.	10 to 15
	Final scheme as framed.	
IV.	ENQUIRIES CONDUCTED IN BRITISH INDIA BY RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEES.	15 to 16
V.	CONCLUSION.	16 to 18
	Acknowledgment of services.	

= = = 0 = = =

APPENDIX ... I to XVIII.

= = = 0 = = =

N.J.P.

APPENDICES.

I. G.O.No.4972-9/L.B.83-30-40 dated 10th Dec. 1930 appointing the Committee.

II. " 5335- /L.B.83-30-42 dated 27th Dec. 1930 appointing Mr. N. Lakshmanachar as Secretary to the Committee.

III. Extract from Major C.S. Moncrieff's Note of 14th January 1878, on certain Retrenchments.

IV. Extract from para.38 of Sir J.D.Gordon's Memorandum dated 24th Feb.1878 on the proposed retrenchments.

V. G.O.No.L.1539-56/L.B.70-23-1 dated 21-8-1923,sanctioning the formation of Committees for each District to consider this question.

VI. " 4542-71/L.B.104-24-1 dated 23-12-1924,passing orders on the proposals of the Local Self-Government Conference of 1923.

VII. " 5881-92/L.B.27-0546 dated 4-12-'05,regarding the relations between the P.W.D. and the District Boards.

VIII." 9280-97/L.B.78-18-1 dated 24-1-'19,issuing instructions for the revision of the system of management and control of Local Fund Works.

IX. " 4447-66/L.B.99-22-1 dated 16-12-1922,passing orders on the relationship between the Executive Engineers and the Presidents of District Boards.

X. Statement of separate Engineering and Office Establishment for the District Boards prepared by Mr. V.V. Karve.

XI. -do- -do- by Mr. H.B. Gundappa Gowda.

XII. -do- -do- by Mr. B.S.Puttaswamy.

XIII. -do- -do- for a District like Mysore prepared by the Technical Members of the Committee.

XIV. -do- -do- for a District like Hassan prepared by the Technical Members of the Committee.

XV. Final statement showing the scale of establishment for --- Mysore costing about Rs. 30,000/-.

XVI. Extract from the Report of the Royal Commission on Decentralization.

XVII." from the Report of Public Works Department Re-organization Committee of 1917.

XVIII. Statement showing the lengths of District Board Roads in each District with their lengths and grant and the average expenditure of each District Board on Public Works "A" and "B" Classes.

N.J.P.

THE PROPOSAL OF THE DISTRICT BOARDS TO HAVE THEIR OWN ENGINEERING ESTABLISHMENT.

= = = 0 = = =

App. I. Report of the Committee appointed in G.O.No. 4972-9/L.B. 83-30-4C dated the 10th December 1930.

I. PRELIMINARY.

During the October Session of the Representative Assembly of 1930, Mr. H.B. Gundappa Gowda, the Member for Hassan, brought up a resolution to the effect that the District Boards might be allowed to have their own Engineering Establishment. After some discussion and on the suggestion of Mr. Mahomed Imam, Government agreed to appoint a Committee of officials and non-officials "to consider the question in all its aspects and to submit its views thereon".

Constitution & Personnel of the Committee.

2. A mixed Committee of the following officials and non-officials was accordingly appointed by Government in their Order No. 4972-9/L.B. 83-30-4C dated the 10th December 1930 :-

App. I.

1. The Chief Engineer of Mysore.....Chairman.
2. The Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.
4. The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.
5. Mr. H.B. Gundappa Gowda, B.A., B.L., President, District Board, Hassan.
6. Mr. B.S. Puttaswami, B.A., B.L., President, District Board, Mysore.

App. II. Mr. N. Lakshmanachar, B.E., (Assistant to the Chief Engineer), was appointed Secretary to the Committee in G.O.No.5335/L.B. 83-30-42 dated the 27th December 1930.

The Committee commenced their work on the 18th February 1931 and held in all four meetings on the dates mentioned below :

1. 18th February 1931.
2. 14th March 1931.
3. 13th April 1931.
4. 13th July 1931.

A review of the Committee's work.

At first the Committee considered it necessary to formulate the exact nature of the complaints that the District Boards had against the present -- management of their -- works by the Public Works Department and then to find out whether any remedial measures could be adopted to improve the system. When the Committee found that no remedial measures could be made acceptable to the District Boards, they took up the question of framing a workable scheme, of executive and office establishment, required for effecting a transfer. At the meeting of the Committee held on the 13th July 1931, the final recommendations to be submitted to Government were discussed and certain conclusions were --- arrived at.

A brief history of the question.

3. Before proceeding to the work of the Committee it would be desirable to trace the history of the question of a separate Engineering Establishment for the District Boards from its - initial stages. The "District Committees" in Mysore, which later on became the present "District Boards", were first established in July 1874. For

about 4 or 5 years (i.e., from 1874-78) these District Committees appear to have had Local Fund Engineers, -- District Surveyors and Maistries. In 1878-79 owing - to drastic retrenchments, due to the famine of 1876-77, the Local Fund Engineering Establishments were abolished. In the year 1877-78, the District Fund Budget --- amounted to Rs 3,44,058 for original works and repairs - and the establishment charges amounted to about a lakh of rupees. Major C.S. Moncrieff, the then Officiating App.III Chief Engineer recommended to Government that the establishment charges could be reduced to about one-half -- the amount, in case the State Public Works Department - was entrusted with Local Fund Works also. He emphasised that his only object in putting forward that suggestion was to get efficiency and economy and not the glo- App. IV. rification of the Public Works Department. Mr. Gordon, the Chief Commissioner, in his minute dated the 13th - August 1878 observed that the works executed from the Provincial and District Funds were so similar in character and so much more local than Imperial, that their -- dual management could not but be productive of needless expense and waste of powers. Accordingly with the --- approval of the Governor-General in Council, the District Fund Works were amalgamated with those of the State Public Works Department, and the establishments were -- transferred to the Public Works Department, (Chief Commissioner's proceedings No. 1817-31 dated the 23rd May 1879).

4. From about the year 1892, an agitation was set up in the Representative Assembly against the continuance of the above system and for the appointment of a sepa-

rate Engineering Establishment for Local Boards. The subject was mooted at the Representative Assembly --- Sessions of the years 1893, 1894, 1904 and 1906 and the Government replied that the present system was found to work well and that a separate agency could not be organised for want of funds.

In 1912 the subject was again brought forward and the Government gave a sympathetic reply and asked the District Boards to send up suitable proposals. The matter was further considered by the Mysore Local Self-Government Conference of 1914-15 and a resolution was recorded in favour of certain specific proposals for a separate Engineering Establishment. In November 1916, while passing orders on the above, the Government expressed their readiness "to consider any proposal for the transfer of District Fund Works to the Local Boards concerned, wherever the latter were ready to take over such works".

In October 1922, the matter was again discussed in the Representative Assembly and in December of the same year the Bangalore District Board sent up to Government definite proposals for a separate Engineering Establishment.

In April 1923, a resolution was passed in the Local Self-Government Conference held in Bangalore, that a -- small Committee might be appointed to consider the practicability of each District Board having its own Engineering Establishment with due regard to economy and efficiency, taking into account the amount that the -- District Boards could spare annually for Original Works and Repairs, the amount of contribution paid to the ---

Public Works Department for Establishment and Tools & Plant Charges, and the expenditure which the maintenance of a separate Engineering Establishment would involve and that the recommendation of the Committee be submitted to Government for consideration.

App. V. 5. The Government in their Order No.L.1539-56/ L.B. 70-23-1 dated the 21st August 1923, accepting the resolution of the Conference ordered the formation of a Committee for each District and the submission of their recommendations in due course. District Committees were thereafter formed and meetings held at each District Headquarters, at which the Chief Engineer for Roads and Buildings was also present. The District Boards of Kadur, Shimoga and Tumkur were not in favour of any change in the existing arrangement, while those of Bangalore, Kolar, Hassan and Mysore were in favour. The District Board of Chitaldrug do not appear to have sent their opinion. The Government after considering the recommendations received ordered in their No.4542-71/ L. B. 104-24-1 dated the 23rd December 1924, that as the existing Public Works Establishment was based on the system of combined jurisdiction, they were not satisfied that the establishment of another Engineering staff to attend to Local Fund Works would be conducive to any greater efficiency.

App.VI.

It is in response again to a resolution brought up in the last Representative Assembly Session of 1930 --- that the question has been referred to this Special Committee, as already explained in paragraph (1) above.

II. THE PRESENT SYSTEM OF MANAGEMENT OF DISTRICT BOARD WORKS BY THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

= = = 0 = = =

6. Here it is necessary to refer to the Government -- Orders defining the relations between the State Public Works Department and the District Boards. Accord-

The relations between the Public Works Department & the District Boards.

App.VII. ing to G.O.No.5881-92/L.B.27-05-6 dated the 4th December 1905, the Public Works Department had full discretion in distributing and utilising the mileage allotments, provided in the District Board Budgets, for the annual repairs of roads without further reference to the District Boards concerned. The Boards had no means of knowing the nature of the works undertaken during the year by the Public Works Department. In order that the District Boards might be kept informed of the progress of works from time to time, Government issued instructions

App.VIII in their No. 9280-97/L.B.78-18-1 dated the 24th January 1919, for the revision of the system of management and control of Local Fund Works. These were :

(1) The Executive Engineers should open in the schedule of monthly expenditure, furnished to the District Boards, an additional column showing the progress made during the month on road repairs and the precise portion of the roads repaired;

(2) The Executive Engineers should also forward to the Presidents of District Boards through the Deputy Commissioners, copies of their - notes of inspection of District Fund Roads

(omitting instructions of a purely technical nature), if they are of sufficient importance;

(3) The schedule of expenditure should be placed before the District Boards for consideration and on receipt of the resolutions, if any, of the District Boards thereon, the Executive -- Engineers should take such action as may be necessary to give effect to the said resolutions; and,

(4) Copies of all estimates sanctioned for road repairs under District Funds should be forwarded to the Presidents of District Boards for information.

App. IX. Revised instructions issued by Government in their No. 4447-66/L.B. 99-22-1 dated the 16th December 1922, with a view to lay down more definitely, the official relationship of the Executive Engineers with the Presidents of District Boards in regard to the execution of works financed by the District Boards, enjoined, in addition to the above. that the estimates for all District Fund Works to be carried out by the Public Works Department should be sent to the Presidents of District Boards for countersignature in token of approval.

It is according to these orders that the Public -- Works Department has been carrying out District Fund -- Works at present.

7. We now come to the discussions in Committee, which centred round the following two questions :-

(1) What are the defects of the existing system and are there no possibilities of removing them to the satisfaction of the District Boards ?

(2) If a transfer of establishment is necessary what is the minimum scale required, consistent with efficiency and economy ?

In regard to the first, the complaints of the --- District Boards against the existing system, as mentioned by our non-official colleagues of the Committee, who are also Presidents of the District Boards and who have therefore actual experience of the working of the system, are :

Defects of the existing system.

(1) That the District Boards are not able to make out how the money transferred by them to the Public Works Department on account of District Board works is being spent and they have also no voice in checking the figures or scrutinising the work done, --- though they are financing the works ;

(2) That the Public Works Department do not -- attach as much importance to District --- Board works as to State Fund Works;

(3) That they can supervise their own works --- more efficiently ; and

(4) That they could get their works done more expeditiously and economically.

8. We have discussed at length, during the meetings, every one of the above complaints. As regards the -- first of the above complaints, the G.O.No.L.4447-66/L.B. 99-22-1 dated the 16th December 1922, lays down definitely, that the estimates for all the District Fund Works to be carried out by the Public Works Department should be

sent to the President, District Board, for countersignature in token of approval and that, after the estimates are sanctioned by competent authority, copies of the abstracts of the estimates are to be furnished to the Presidents for reference. A statement of monthly expenditure on each work is also enjoined to be sent to the Presidents to keep them informed of the progress. There seems therefore no ground for the complaint, that works in some cases are executed by the Department in anticipation of the President's countersignature. It was stated in the course of the discussions that some sanctioned works are not executed in the same year --- though the estimates are returned countersigned. This was stated to create inconvenience in balancing budgets. This was conceded by the technical members of the Committee though they thought it quite possible to reduce such irregularities to a minimum.

The second, that the Public Works Department do -- not attach as much importance to District Board Works as to State Fund Works, is of too sweeping a nature and cannot be easily substantiated.

They do not also accept the third contention that the District Boards can supervise their works more efficiently than the Department of Public Works, for the -- reason that they would loose the benefit of supervision by the higher officers of the Department and have to depend on comparatively junior officers. It was suggested on the other side, that the higher officers might be requested to inspect the works and give their opinions when required. But such inspections in our view would not be done in time and they could not have the same -- effect as when direct responsibility is vested in the -

Inspecting Officers, demanding timely check and supervision.

The last contention is that the District Boards could get works done more expeditiously and economically. Our technical colleagues on the Committee would not --- agree that the District Boards could carry out works --- more expeditiously. The delay in the execution of District Board works is said to be due to the necessity of the preliminaries prescribed in the Code being gone -- through, in regard to the selection of site, or acquisition of land, or the recovery of quotas due from Local - Bodies, etc. If the District Boards have their own --- Engineering Establishment it may be possible to dispense with the procedure regarding the selection of site or placing of funds, etc. But in other respects there -- cannot be any greater expedition unless the District -- Boards desire to dispense with the other formalities of calling for tenders, paying bills after proper check and audit, etc.

That the District Boards could manage works economically was not also admitted by our technical colleagues as they maintained that the establishment charges alone would be about 60 to 100 per cent more than what the District Boards were incurring at present.

III. THE COST OF SEPARATE ENGINEERING ESTABLISHMENT.

= = = 0 = = =

9. The deliberations of the Committee therefore at the first. second and third meetings revolved mostly around this central subject of whether the entertainment of a suitable independent Engineering Establishment would be less costly to the Dist-

The scale of minimum Engineering Establishment.

rict Boards than now.

As a basis for discussions on this aspect of the question, three statements of executive and office establishment had been separately prepared by our colleagues Messrs. V.V. Karve, H.B. Gundappa Gowda and B.S.Puttaswami. App.X,XI, XII. These were scrutinised very closely in Committee and it was unanimously agreed that in any scheme of separate -- Engineering Establishment for District Boards, the following principles should be followed for the present :

(1) That the District Board Engineer should be an officer of at least an Assistant Engineer's grade of the Public Works - Department;

(2) That each District should be subdivided into two or more Sub-Divisions according to its area and the nature of ---- works;

(3) That the District Board Engineer should not be himself in direct charge of any Sub-Division;

(4) That the Sub-Divisions should be manned by officers of the grade of Sub-Engineers;

(5) That a Suboverseer should not be in --- charge of more than about 50 miles of road for efficient supervision; and

(6) That for the present all the executive staff necessary should be taken on loan from the Public Works Department.

On the above basis and after some preliminary discussion, our technical colleagues framed two schemes -- App. XIII (1) one costing Rs 42,000 for a big District like Mysore, " XIV. and (2) another costing Rs 27,500 for a smaller District like Hassan (found in the appendices). They stated -- that the scales of establishment provided therein would be required for a safe and successful working of t h e scheme. As the total average annual expenditure

of the concerned District Boards under "A" and "B" class Public Works comes to Rs. 1,00,000 and Rs. 70,000, respectively, without taking account of contributions to special works which are exceptional in character. the cost of the establishment on the outlay works to 42 per cent and 39 per cent, respectively.

Our non-official colleagues considered the scales as unnecessarily costly and they put up two alternative schemes App.XI. (1) one for Rs. 22,668 for a District like Mysore, and, (2) " XII another for Rs. 15,700 for a District like Hassan. The proposals of our non-official colleagues for cutting down the cost are :

(1) That the elaborate scale of establishment suggested by the technical members of the Committee is unnecessary as any extra establishment necessary for executive and office work may be made available from their existing establishment as they -- have not got adequate work now ;

(2) That only juniors from the Public Works Department in the scale of Assistant Engineers, Sub-Engineers, Suboverseers, Draftsmen and Clerks be -- lent to the District Boards ;

(3) That Accountants and Accounts Clerks on high pay need not be posted from the Comptroller's office, as there are qualified men drawing lower pay -- in their own staff ;

(4) That the system of accounting need not be the -- same as that of Public Works Accounts and might be simplified according to the accounting now in vogue in the case of "B" Public Works; and,

(6) That less important State Fund roads be transferred to the District Boards for maintenance to minimise cost of supervision.

10. The Committee considered these proposals for reducing the cost of establishment and the majority were of opinion that there were the following difficulties in adopting them :

(1) It is not always possible to give on loan to District Boards, such junior officers and officials alone and take them back as soon as their pay goes beyond the limits imposed;

(2) The Comptroller is of opinion that, so far as the Accountants are concerned, the selection should be made from the staff of the Accounts Department while accounts clerks should be those that are conversant with the Public Works system of accounts;

(3) It is risky to simplify the system of accounting, when expenditure of a large sum is involved, inasmuch as even in spite of the elaborate system of accounting in vogue in the Public Works Department, serious irregularities have often occurred;

(4) It is not desirable to entrust the District Boards with the less important State Fund Roads, till the District Boards are found to be capable of managing their own roads satisfactorily;

(5) Experience would show that the scale of establishment proposed by the technical members is necessary and the proposal to use certain existing establishment for the Engineering Section might not be feasible as in the opinion of the Director of Health the Sanitary Inspectors have enough work, and as health problems are becoming complex every day they should not be diverted to other works.

11. The arguments for and against were discussed at length in the last meeting of the Committee held on the 13th July 1931. Our non-official colleagues were of opinion that there was no use of recommending an extravagant scale of establishment which would only end in the proposal of the transfer of control to their management, not being accepted by Government. They were also of opinion that short of transfer of the establishment, all other measures to improve the present system would be unsatisfactory to them. They wanted that a way should be found for effecting the transfer. In view of this attitude on their part, the Committee agreed that a trial might be given to the system of separate Engineering Establishment with a somewhat less costly staff, limiting the cost to a minimum of about Rs. 30,000 for a District like Mysore below which figure, the Chairman said it would be quite unsafe to launch any experiment and that he would not recommend it. A scale of establishment for Mysore was framed accordingly (vide Appendix XV). The percentage cost of establishment on the average outlay, even in this case, works out to 30 per cent against 18 per cent which is debited to the District

Final scheme as framed.

App.XV.

Boards by the Public Works Department at present. It is possible that when increased allotments are made for the works by the District Boards in the coming years and when in view of the transfer of Primary Education to the control of the District Boards, the Education Cess Fund Works are also carried out by them alone, the establishment -- charges may get minimised to some extent. But at present, the extra cost to the District Boards cannot be reduced. As against this extra cost it was suggested that Government would be saving a large amount by reducing their own establishment in the Public Works Department. But the cost of establishment that could be reduced would form -- but a fraction of the establishment charges now being paid by the District Boards to the Government, which the Government will no longer get. The scale of establishment for the minimum amount of Rs. 30,200 as framed was accepted by the Committee for experimental purposes.

IV. ENQUIRIES CONDUCTED IN BRITISH INDIA BY RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEES.

= = 0 = =

12. Before concluding the report, it may be pertinent to refer to similar enquiries constituted in British --- India in regard to this matter. The Royal Commission on Decentralization after an elaborate enquiry extending all over India, came to the conclusion that the question of -- investing local bodies with a separate Engineering Establishment was one of ways and means only and that those District Boards who could afford, should be permitted to do so.

The Public Works Department Re-organization Committee of 1917 drew pointed attention to the defects in the

duplication of the Engineering staff and recorded their agreement with the principle enunciated by the Royal Commission on Decentralization, about the desirability of District Boards maintaining their own Engineering staff but with the "proviso" that it should be done only in cases where the District Boards' expenditure permitted the employment of a competent District Board Engineering staff.

App.XVI. XVII. (Please see extracts of their report in Appendix).

V. CONCLUSION.

13. In our State too, the chief difficulty that has been felt in acceding to the proposal for the separate Engineering Establishment is that the District Board expenditure on Public Works is not large enough to justify the employment of an adequate and competent Engineering staff. The average expenditure for about 10 years on "A" and "B" class Public Works in the several Districts and the amount that they are paying to the Public Works Department towards Establishment and Tools & Plant Charges is noted below. These figures include the Boards' contributions towards large works which cannot be considered to be a recurring expenditure

District.	Total outlay on "A" & "B" Public Works.	Establishment charges paid to P. W. D.
Bangalore.	Rs. 1,25,540	Rs. 13,571
Mysore.	1,24,230	17,393
Hassan.	1,07,962	13,296
Kolar.	97,636	9,668
Tumkur.	89,479	12,058
Chitaldrug.	83,851	11,400
Shimoga.	61,343	9,948
Kadur.	38,315	6,380

N.B. = The Establishment charges in column 3 above do not include those incurred by the Boards on "B" Public Works.

As stated in paragraph 11 supra, the cost of separate Engineering Establishment for a district of the size of Mysore would be Rs 30,200 against Rs 17,000 which they contribute at present.

14. Though the time is quite inopportune to have --- brought up the proposition, which entails a larger additional expenditure both to the District Boards and to - the Government, yet, on account of the repeated representations that are being made in the Representative Assembly and the Legislative Council, the official members, are -- prepared to recommend a trial being given to the scheme for a period of three years in the Mysore District. --- Mysore is selected as being the biggest District where the expenditure is also nearly the highest and therefore affords the best chance for success to the scheme. If the scheme proves successful in that District, the cases of other Districts may then be considered.

It has therefore been unanimously resolved that, by way of trial, the control of the Public Works of the Mysore District Board falling under "A" class, which are now being carried out by the Public Works Department, be transferred to the direct control of the District Board and -- that for this purpose a separate Engineering Establish-
App. XV. ment costing Rs 30,200 (as given in Appendix) be sanctioned for a period of three years.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICES.

15. We are indebted to Dr. Karve who kindly attended the Third Committee Meeting and gave us the benefit of his views regarding the question of absorbing Sanitary Inspectors.

We also desire to place on record, our appreciation of the valuable services rendered by the Secretary Mr.N. Lakshmanachar in collecting all necessary information -- for discussions in the Committee, taking down the minutes and in preparing a preliminary draft report.

= = = =o:O:o= = = =

M G Rangaiya 5/11/31

Sd. M.G.Rangaiya,
C H A I R M A N .

N.J.P.

M E M B E R S .

1.	Sd.	V.V. Karve. ...	26-9-1931.
2.	"	G.B. Heblikar. ...	12-10-'31.
3.	"	A.V. ~~Anantharaman~~ Ramanathan. ...	24-9-1931.
4.	"	H.B. Gundappa Gowda...	(no date).

Mr B. S. Puttuswamy could not sign as he was away in England at the time

= = = O = = =

V. Lakshmanachar
S E C R E T A R Y .
5.11.31.

APPENDIX - I.

35

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of MYsore.

Read :-

Order No. L. 4972-91/L.B. 83-30-40 dated 10th Decr. 1930.

A resolution was moved at the Session of the Representative Assembly held in October 1930, suggesting that District Boards might have their own Engineering Establishments and it was ----- agreed that the question might be examined by a Committee. Govt. are accordingly pleased to appoint a Committee, was under, to consider the proposal in all its aspects and to submit its views thereon. :-

The Chief Engineer of Mysore, Chairman.
" Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle.)
" Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.)
" Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.)
Mr.H.B. Gundappa Gowda, B.A., B.L.,) MEMBERS.
President, District Board, Hassan.)
Mr.B.S. Puttaswami, B.A., B.L.,)
President, District Board, Mysore.)

Mr. K.D. Joshi, Assistant to the Chief Engineer, will be the Secretary to the Committee.

Sd. V. Subrahmanya Sastri,
For General Secretary to Government.

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
The Members of the Committee.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Presidents of District Boards.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

"Copy"

COPY.

10
5.11.31.

APPENDIX - II.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read :-

Government Order No. L.4972-9/L.B. 83-30-40 dated the 10th December 1930, sanctioning the appointment of a Committee to consider the question of having separate Engineering Establishment for District Boards.

2. Letter No. 9198 dated the 15th December 1930, from the Chief Engineer in Mysore, requesting that Mr. N. Lakshmanachar, Assistant to the Chief Engineer may be appointed, Secretary to the Committee instead of Mr. K.D. Joshi appointed as Secretary in the Order read above.

Order No. 6335- /L.B. 83-30-42 dated 27th Dec: 1930.

APPROVED.

Sd. N.S. Iyengar,
FOR GENERAL SECRETARY|TO GOVT.

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
The Comptroller of Mysore.
The Members of the Committee.
The Presidents of District Boards.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

COPY.

N.J.P. 5.11.31

(3) Extract (second para) from the Chief Engineer's (Major C.S. Moncrieff) note dated 14th January 1878 on certain retrenchments.

2nd. My other proposal is connected with t h e administration of District and Local Funds.

The District Fund budget for the current year --- amounts for Original Works and Repairs, to ₹ 3,44,058 and for establishment to ₹ 93,311.

Of course a reduced Public Works budget will entail the transfer to other provinces of some of the Mysore officers. But there is not likely to be among those that are left the same high pressure of work as there has been. I recommend, therefore that the --- Public Works Department should undertake the whole administration of the District Funds, and that the ---- accounts of these funds should be prepared on the Public Works principle, and audited by the Public Works Examiner. I do not wish to reduce in the very slightest degree the control which revenue officers properly have over these funds. My object is only to ensure a better standard of work. I would propose that each district should have its engineer officer to execute all provincial works whether buildings, roads, tanks, or canals, and to be at the same time, the professional adviser of the Deputy Commissioner, and to superintend the expenditure of all the District Funds. The irrigation Branch, as one separate from roads and buildings would be abolished. The Executive Engineer would draw up the annual District Fund budget in conjunction with the Deputy Commissioner. The Deputy Commissioner would call on him to frame estimates, to report on works, to carry out repairs. He would have as at present certain powers of sanctioning funds, but with the engineering details, he would have nothing to do. For all these the Executive Engineer would be responsible to his --- Superintending Engineer, and he would, in the course of his inspections, pay the same attention to District as to provincial Works. If the Deputy Commissioner had a turn for engineering, and wished himself to carry out a certain work, he might be allowed to do it; but, while so doing, he would be subject to the rules of the Department for Public Works, and submit all the necessary --- accounts.

I believe the Department Public Works could take up these duties with an establishment considerably less than the ₹ 93,311 now allowed.

I contend that the works, having a closer professional supervision, would be done better and cheaper, that fewer blunders would be made, fewer failures occur. I think to any one who admits that engineering is a distinct profession, and not an art which any amateur may practice, the above must be self-evident. Whether it is applicable to the present state of Mysore is open to discussion.

The plan proposed should tend, I think, to promote harmonious working between the Revenue and Public Works

officers, and to do away with an unwholesome distrust and jealousy which seem to exist in Mysore. My only object is efficiency and economy, emphatically not the glorification of the Department of Public Works.

Sd. G.E. Moncrieff, Major, R.E.,
Offg. Chief Engineer in ---
Mysore.

Copy"

N.J.P.

5.11.31

Extract from paragraph 38 of Sir J.D.Gordon's Memorandum dated the 24th February 1878 on the proposed retrenchments.

I agree also to the proposal made by Major Moncrieff to effect a reduction by combining with the Department of public Works Establishment, that employed for the superintendence of the District Fund works.

District Funds.

"Copy"

D

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :-
Read :-

The following resolution passed in the Local Self-Government Conference held in Bangalore in April 1923 :

'XXI. That a small Committee consisting of the Deputy Commissioner, the President, the Vice-President and a few non-official members of the District Board,-- the Chief Engineer for Roads (and Buildings) and the Executive Engineer of the District be appointed to consider the practicability of each District Board having its own Engineering Staff, with due regard to economy & efficiency, taking into account the amount which the District Board can spare annually for original wokks & repairs, the amount of contribution now paid to the Public Works Department for establishment charges and 'Tools and Plant' and the expenditure which the maintenance of a separate Engineering staff will involve and that the resolution of the District Board on the recommendations of the Committee be submitted to Government for consideration".

.....

ORDER NO.L.1539-56/L.B. 70-23-1
DATED BANGALORE, THE 21ST AUGUST 1923.

The recommendation of the Conference is accepted.

2. The Deputy Commissioners of Districts are requested to take steps for holding the meeting of the Committee at an early date, -the non-official members,- not exceeding 3 of the District Board to sit on the Committee being selected in consultation with the President of the Board. The date of holding the meeting should be fixed in consultation with the Chief Engineer for Roads and Buildings and the date so fixed reported to Government for information. The Deputy Commissioner will be the Chairman of the Committee.

3. The recommendations of the Committee may be forwarded to the President of the District Board who will submit them to Government with a copy of the resolution of the Board thereon.

Sd. C.E. Rama Rau,
FOR SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

To

The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Presidents of District Boards.
the Chief Engineer for Roads and Buildings.

N.J.P.

copy"
D
5.11.37

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

R e a d -

G.O.No.3005-55/Ml.132-16-1 dated 16th Nov.1916, passing orders on the development of Local Self---Government in Mysore.

2. G.O.No.L.5395-457/Ml. 162-22-1 dated 27th Jan. 1923, directing the holding of a Local Self-Government Conference at Bangalore, to go into all questions connected with further development of Local - Self-Government in the State.

3. Resolutions of the Local Self-Government Conference of 1923.

4. Govt. Proceedings No. 961-70/C.B.67-23, dated 24th Sep. 1923, making arrangements for dealing with the above resolutions.

5. Part V (Decentralization and development of -- Local Self-Government) of Government Proceedings No. 1367-426/C.B.100-23-1 dated 27th Octr. 1923, passing orders on the Report of the Reforms Committee.

ORDER NO.L.4542-71/L.B.104-24-1 Dated 23-12-1924.

xx xx xx

12. SEPARATE ENGINEERING ESTABLISHMENT FOR LOCAL FUND WORKS - One of the important Resolutions of the Conference is that relating to the appointment of a separate Engineering Establishment for Local Fund -- Works. The District Boards of Kadur, Shimoga a n d Tumkur are not in favour of any change in the existing arrangements while those of Bangalore, Kolar, -- Hassan and Mysore are in favour of the proposal. The existing Public Works Establishments were based on - the system of combined jurisdiction towards which the District Boards pay a contribution, and the Government at present are not satisfied that the establishment of another Engineering staff to attend to Local Fund Works will be conducive to any greater efficiency.

xx xx xx

Sd. B. NAGAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments.

---EXTRACT---

N.J.P.

5.11.37

APPENDIX - VII.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:-

Letter No. 64 dated the 19th July 1905, from the President of the District Board of Mysore, requesting instructions as to whether both classes of estimates, viz., (1) --- those relating to the annual repairs of Local Fund roads, (2) and others pertaining to special repairs of Local Fund roads should be placed before the District Board.

2. Letter No. 7215 dated the 4th November 1905, from -- the Chief Engineer of Mysore, stating that estimates up to - Rs. 500 being within the powers of sanction of the Executive Engineers, are sent to the Presidents of District Boards for countersignature except in the case of annual repair estimates of roads, for which fixed mileage allotments are sanctioned and provided for in the sanctioned District Fund budgets, which are invariably passed by the Boards before submission to Government.

No. 5881-92/L.B.(27-05)-6 dated the 4th December 1905.

ORDER THEREON. - As the mileage allotment for the annual repairs of roads is a fixed grant placed once for all at the disposal of the Department of Public Works, it is for that -- Department without further reference to the Local Fund Boards to deal with its distribution and utilisation according to the actual needs of the roads concerned; but when estimates for the special repairs of roads or other works are sent to the - President for countersignature, he should place the same before the Board for sanction.

Sd. B.K. Venkata Varada Iyengar,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Dept.

To
The President of the District Board, Mysore.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
The Presidents of the other District Boards.

"Copy"
10
5.11.37

APPENDIX -- VIII.

Revision of the system of management and control of the Local -- Fund Roads.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read. -

Government Order No. 5881-92/L.B.27-05-6 dated the 4th December 1905, directing that the Public Works Department may, without further reference to Local Fund - Boards, deal with the distribution and utilisation of - the mileage allotments for annual repairs of roads and that estimates for special repairs of roads or other - works, when sent to the President for countersignature, should be placed before the Board for sanction.

.....

ORDER NO. 9280-97/L.B.78-18-1 DATED THE 24TH JANY. 1919.

Under the Government Order read above, the Public Works Department has full discretion in distributing & utilising the mileage allotments provided in the District Fund Budgets for annual repairs of roads, without further reference to the Boards concerned. As such, the Presidents have no means of knowing the nature of the -- work undertaken during the year by the Public Works Department. In order, therefore, that the District Boards may be kept informed of the progress; from time to time, Government are pleased to issue the following instructions:

(1) Executive Engineers should, in future, open in the schedule of monthly expenditure - furnished to the District Boards - an additional column showing the progress made during the month on road repairs and the precise portion of the roads repaired.

(2) Executive Engineers will also forward to the -- Presidents of District Boards, through the -- Deputy Commissioners, copies of their notes of inspection of District Fund Roads (omitting instructions of a purely technical nature). if they are of sufficient importance.

(3) The schedule of expenditure should be placed before the District Boards for consideration, and on receipt of the resolutions, if any of the - District Boards thereon, the Executive Engineers should take such action as may be necessary to give effect to the said resolutions.

(4) Copies of all estimates sanctioned for road repairs under District Funds should be forwarded to the Presidents of District Boards for information.

Sd. B. Ramaswamaiya,
Secretary to Govt., Rev. Dept.

To

The Presidents of District Boards.
The Dy. Commissioners of Districts.
The President, K.G.F. Sanitary Board.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

N.J.P.

"Copy"
D
5-11-37

APPENDIX -- IX.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:-

Government Order No. 9280-97/L.B.78-18-1 dated 24th January 1919, issuing instructions for the revision of the system of management and control of Local Fund Roads.

ORDER NO.L.4447-66/L.B. 99-22-1 dated 16th Dec. 1922.

With a view to lay down more definitely the official relationship of the Executive Engineers with the Presidents of District Boards in regard to the execution of works financed by the District Boards, the following revised instructions are issued, in supersession of those embodied in the Government Order read above.

(1) The estimates for all District Fund works to be carried out by the Public Works Department will be sent to the President, District Board, for countersignature in token of approval.

(2) After the estimates are sanctioned by competent authority, copies of the abstracts of estimates will be furnished to the Presidents of the District Boards for reference.

(3) A statement of the monthly expenditure on each work will be sent to the Presidents of the District Boards for information every month to keep them informed of the progress. In the matter of road repairs, the nature of the works carried -- out will be shewn in the remarks column in the same manner as for other works.

(4) Executive Engineer's notes of inspection of District Fund Roads (instructions of a purely technical nature omitted) will be sent to the Presidents of the District Boards when they are of sufficient importance.

(5) Should the District Boards pass any resolutions on the monthly statement of expenditure or the inspection notes, the Executive Engineers will take such action as may be necessary to give effect to the said resolutions except in the case of

any difference of opinion when the matter shall be referred to the Chief Engineer (Roads and Buildings) for orders.

(6) The District Board will after the receipt of estimates from the Taluk Boards, forward to the Executive Engineer of the District a list of all the works required to be carried out by the Public Works Department during the ensuing year, for entering the probable cost of each work and for including any work or works which he may consider necessary. The Executive Engineer will then return the list to the District Board.

(7) Immediately the District Board Budget is submitted to -- Government, extracts of the portions relating to works to be executed by the Public Works Department will be furnished to - the Executive Engineer with a view to his arranging for the -- preparation of the necessary estimates in time. As soon as - Government sanction to the Budget is received by the District Board, extracts from the same will be furnished to the Executive Engineer so that the preparation of estimates for works disallowed by Government may not be proceeded with.

(8) The Presidents of District Boards will address all their requisitions and communications in respect of Public Works to the Executive Engineers of the Districts.

(9) The Executive Engineers, who are Ex-officio Members of the District Boards, will be regarded as professional advisers to - them.

Sd. T.E. Jeyarama Aiyar,
SECY. TO GOVT., REV. DEPARTMENT.

To - The Presidents of District Boards.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The President, K.G.F. Sanitary Board.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore, Roads and Buildings.
The Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.
The Comptroller to Government.

N.J.P.

"Copy"
8-11-37

Salary @ 50	50x12x11	= 6,600)	
C.A. 3 Malnad.	30x3x12	= 1,080)	9,780
Ditto 8 Maidan.	15x8x12	= 1,440)	
T.A.@ 5/-	5x12x11	= 660)	
Contingencies, Stationery, Printing Measurement Books, etc.			1,548
			31,160
Pension contribution. 25,532 x $\frac{1}{9}$			2,800
			33,960 or

say Rs 33.000 or 56%.

Kadur Division. 3rd Class. Avg. Expenditure. 42,203

Asst. Engineer.	250x12=	3,000)	4,200)	9,432
T.Allowance.	100x12=	1,200)		
Office Estt.	406x12=	4,872)	5,232)	
T.Allowance.	30x12=	360)		

Sub Divisions 2.

2 Sub Engineers.	175x12x2=	4,200)	6,000)	8,400
T.Allowance.	75x12x2=	1,800)		
Office Estt.	3600x$\frac{2}{3}$		2,400)	

Subordinates 8.

Salary.	50x12x8=	4,800)	8160	8160
C.A.	30x12x8=	2,880)	7,460	7,460
T.A.	5x12x8=	480)		
Contingencies, etc.				1.208
				29,500 27200
Pension Contribution. 16,872x$\frac{1}{9}$				1,830
				29,030 or

say Rs 29.000 or 68%.

Shimoga Dn. II Class. Avg. Expenditure. 53,670

Same as Chitaldrug for Asst. Engineer and Sub Division. 4,800 + 1,200 + 5,232 + 9,000 + 3,600 = 23,832

Subordinates 9.

Salary.	50x12x9		5,400)	8,820
C.A. Maidan 2.	15x2x12=		360)	
" Malnad 7.	30x7x12=		2,520)	
T.A.@ Rs 5/-.	5x12x9 =		540)	
				32,652
Contingencies, etc.				1,748
				34,400
Pension Contribution. 24,600 x$\frac{1}{9}$				2,700
				37,100 or

say Rs 37,000 or 68%.

==========

N.J.P.

Sd. V.V.Karve,
" Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle.

Copy
10
5.1.37

A P P E N D I X - XI.

STATEMENT OF COST OF ENGINEERING ESTABLISHMENT NECESSARY IF THE DISTRICT FUND ROAD WORKS, &C., ARE TRANSFERRED TO THE DISTRICT BOARDS.

= = o 0 o = =

SCHEME I.

Designation.	Rate of pay.	No. of hands.	Average cost.	Total.	
Assistant Engineer.	250-350	1	300	300	
Accountant	65-5-100	1	70	70	
Draftsman.	50-5-80	1	60	60	
Clerk.	30-3-45	1	40	40	
Peons.	10	2	20	20	
				-----	490
Sub Engineers	150-10-200	2	366	366	
Sub Overseers	35-5/2-80	6	351	351	
Clerks.	30-3-45	2	82	82	
Clerks.	30	2	60	60	
Peons.	10	4	40	40	
				-----	899
T.A.of Officer & Staff & Special Tract Allowance.					500

			Grand total per mensem.		1,889

or Rs 22,668 per annum.

SCHEME II.

Sub Engineers.	150-10-200	2	366	
Sub Overseers.	35-5/2-80	6	351	
Clerks.	30-3-45	2	82	
Clerks.	30	2	60	
Peons.	10	4	40	
Staff.			130	
			-----	8994130
T.A.of Officer & Staff & Special Tract Allowance.				300

Total cost per mensem Rs ~~1,100~~ 1329 or Rs ~~14,000~~ 15948 per annum ~~1,560 or Rs 18,040/=~~.

SCHEME III.

One Assistant Engineer and his staff.	490
One Sub-Engineer and his staff.	274
Six Sub-Overseers.	351
Travelling Allowance, etc.	350

Total Rs 1,465 per mensem

or Rs17,580 per annum.

N.B. = SCHEME I alone was proposed to be considered.

Sd/- B. PUTTASWAMY,
President,
District Board, M y s o r e.

"Copy"
D
27.4.37

N.J.P.

APPENDIX - XII.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SCALE AND COST OF ENGINEERING ESTABLISHMENT FOR THE HASSAN DISTRICT BOARD

= = =oOo= = =

Designation.	No. of hands.	Proposed scale.	Average cost p.m.	Total cost per year	
District Board Engineer.	1	200-10-300	261-1-9	3133-5-0	
Overseer.	1	80-10-120	109-5-4	1312-0-0	
Sub Overseers.	7	35-5/2-80	409-8-0	4114-0-0	
Draftsman.	1	35-3-50	46-4-0	555-0-0	
Accountant.	1	40-5/2-60	54-0-0	648-0-0	
Clerks for the Head Office.	2	30-2-40	75-0-0	900-0-0	
Clerk for the Overseer's Office.	1	25-2-35	32-8-0	390-0-0	
Peons for the Head Office.	2(1)on 10 (1)on 9	19	19-0-0	228-0-0	
Peons for Overseer's Office.	2(1)on 10 (1)on 9	19	19-0-0	228-0-0	
T.A. to Establishment :-					
Dist. Board Engineer.		100	100-0-0	1200-0-0	
Overseer.		75	75-0-0	900-0-0	
Sub Overseers.		150	150-0-0	1800-0-0	
Stationery and Contingencies.				300-0-0	
				15708-5-0	or 15,700/-
Deduct savings on the Sanitary Inspectors on (absorption).					
Sanitary Inspector on Rs x 82 x 85	Rs 82-35	117	315-0-0	3780-0-0	
-do-	Rs 67-35	102			
-do-	Rs 61-35	96			
3 Peons on Rs 10 each.			30-0-0	360-0-0	
				4140-0-0	4,140/-
			Balance.		Rs11,560/=.

"Copy"
5.11.37

N.J.P.

Sd/- H.B.Gundappa Gowda,
President,
District Board, Hassan.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SCALE AND COST OF SEPARATE ENGINEER-ESTABLISHMENT FOR A DISTRICT DIKE MYSORE.

(Prepared by the Technical Members of the Committee.)

No.	Designation.	No.	Scale of pay.	Cost.
1.	Asst. Engineer (as District Board -- Engineer).	1	250-25-450	375
2.	Accountant.	1	65-5-100	90
3.	One Accounts Clerk & 3 other Clerks.	4	35	140
4.	Draftsman.	1	55-5-80	71
5.	Asst. -do-	1	35-3-50	45
6.	Peons.	3	10	30
			Total.	751

SUB DIVISION.

1.	Sub Engineer.	1	150-10-200	183
2.	Clerk.	1	30-3-45	41
3.	Clerk.	1	30	30
4.	Peons.	2	10	20
			Total.	274

Cost for 3 Sub Divisions is 3x274= Rs. 822

Sub Overseers (for Sub Division)	14	35-5/2-80	819
Total (751 plus 822 + 819)			2,392
Add T.A. of Executive & Office Establishment as under :-			
For Assistant Engineer.			100
Sub Engineers 3 x 75			225
For Office Establishment.			40
Travelling Allowance for Suboverseers(14x5)			70
For conveyance allowance of Suboverseers 14 x 15 (extra in Malnad parts) 2 x 15.			240
			675
Cost of Executive and Office establishment with travelling allowance per month I and II is --			3,067
Cost for one year.			36,804
Add pension contributions (1/9 of the cost of establishment)			3,189
For Stationery.			2,000
Grand Total.			41,993
or in round figures .....Rs			42,000

N.J.P.

"Copy"
8
5.11.37

APPENDIX -XIV.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SCALE AND COST OF SEPARATE ENGINEERING ESTABLISHMENT TO THE DISTRICT BOARD OF HASSAN.

(Prepared by the Technical Members of the Committee.)

No.	Designation.	Grade.	Number.	cost.
1.	Asst. Engineer (as District Board Engineer.	250-450 (Av.350)	1	350
2.	Sub Engineers or Overseers for two Sub Divisions.	100-200 (Av.150)	2	300
3.	Sub Overseers.	35-80 (Av. 45)	9	405
4.	Accountant.	40-60 (Av. 50)	1	50
5.	Clerks for the District Board Engineer's Office.	25-1-40 (Av. 35)	3	105
6.	Draftsmen.	45	2	90
7.	Peons.	10	7	70
8.	Clerks for Sub Division.	35	3	105
			Total of	Rs. 1,475 per mensem Rs.17,700 per annum.

TRAVELLING ALLOWANCES. -

District Board Engineer.	Rs. 100
T.A. for his Office.	30
Sub Engineers and office (2x85)	170
C.A. for Sub-Overseers in Maidan (6 x 15)	90
Malnad Allowances for 3 Sub-Overseers (3 x 30)	90
T.A. for Sub-Overseers (9 x 5)	45
	Rs.525/- per mensem.
	or Rs. 6,300/- per annum.
Cost of stationery and contingencies.	1,500/-
Pension contributions.	2,000
GRAND TOTAL	27,500/-.

N.J.P.

"Copy"
8-1-37

APPENDIX.- XV. (mypae)

Scale of Engineering Establishment (Executive and office) costing Rs 30.000, necessary if the District Fund works are transferred to District Boards.

Name of post.	No. required.	Scale of pay.	Average cost.	Total	Remarks
Assistant Engineer	1	250-25-450	376 2/3	376 2/3	
Accountant	1	65- 5-100	90 1/12	90 1/12	
Clerks	4	30	30	120	
Head Draftsman	1	55-5- 80	71 2/3	71 2/3	
Asstt: do	1	35	35	35	
Peons	1	10	10	10	
	2	9	9	18	
Total	11			721 5/12	✓

Sub Divisions

Sub Engineer	1	150-10-200	183 1/3	183 1/3	
Suboverseers	3	35-5/2-80	58 1/2	175 1/2	
Clerk	1	30	30	30	
peons	1	9	9	17	
	1	8	8		
				405 5/6	

For 3 Sub Divisions	1,217½
Total cost for 1 month	1,938 11/12
Total cost for one year	23,267

Travelling allowance.-

1 Assistant Engineer	75
3 Sub Engineers	150
Office Establishment of the Assistant Engineer	30
9 Suboverseers	45
	300
For a year	3,600
Pensionary contribution for a year	2,153
Stationery and contingency	1,200
Grand Total	30,220

10/5-1-37

A P P E N D I X - XVI.

REPORT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION ON DECENTRALIZATION.

- - :oOo: - -

(Extract of Paras 746 & 747)

Para. 746 - Roads, &c. - In Madras, Bengal and Estern Bengal the roads in rural areas have with -- very slight exceptions been handed over to the rural boards. In the Central Provinces, on the other -- hand, these only deal with unmetalled roads. In the other Provinces the more important roads are, to a greater or less extent, dealt with as Provincial works, the rest being left to the rural boards.

Para. 747. - In the Madras and the two Bengals, the District Boards entertain well paid and fairly well qualified District Engineers. In the other -- Provinces, and this applies also to the Sub-Divisional Boards in Assam, they only employ Overseers to deal with their minor roads, the rest being maintained by them by the Government Engineering Staff for whose labours they generally pay a percentage on the value of the work done. While some witnesses are satisfied with this system, others hold that it would be more satisfactory for the boards in these Provinces to have their own Engineering Staff. They can now, it is true, select the works to be done for them by Government agency, and rate the amounts to be allotted for up-keep or construction but it is thought that -- they would have a more effective control if the work was carried out by their own servants. The Chief Engineers in Bombay and the United Provinces saw no objections to District Boards having their own Engineers provided they could afford to engage ---- competent men but the Public Works Secretary to the

Government

Government of India held that this system would involve unnecessary expense.

The question is really one of expediency and of ways and means. In Districts where there are sufficient -- works falling under rural boards to justify the special appointment of a trained Engineer, a District Board which desires to entertain such an Officer and can afford to -- pay him an adequate salary, should, we think be permitted to do this.

"Copy"
5-11-31

N.J.P

A P P E N D I X - XVII.

REPORT OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT REORGANIZATION COMMITTEE OF 1917.

(Extract of Paras 12 - 16)

- -0- -

12 DEFECTS OF EXISTING ARRANGEMENTS.

The main defect of the present system is the duplication of Engineering Staff entailed thereby, one establishment employed for roads and buildings directly managed by Government and another for Public Works in the charge of District Boards, both working alongside each other in the same area. The evidence received by us shows the unsuitability and extravagance of t h i s arrangement. The evil is intensified in Madras where a third Engineering Establishment is employed by the Collector for the maintenance of small Government Irrigation Tanks, with the result that the District Engineer travels along a road to supervise its maintenance, the Public Works officer to look after the buildings on it and the Collector's Overseer to repair the small irrigation tanks near it. Economy is thus sacrified by the employment of separate staffs to do similar classes of work in the same area and we are not satisfied that such a duplication of staff is necessary to the requirements of Government or of District Boards.

Another evil of the Present system is that in many Districts the Public Works expenditure of a District Board is not large enough to justify the employment of a competent Engineering staff. ~~We are in full agreement of a compliant Engineering staff~~. We are in full agreement with the principle of the Royal Commission on Decentralisation that a District Board should in any case maintain adequate staff for its own works, but this is not possible where the expenditure does not permit of a competent District Engineer.

This difficulty was the main cause of the failure of a system in force in the United Provinces (from 1882 to 1887) during which period District Boards employed an Engineering Staff to carry out their own works and to make and maintain all roads other than trunk roads. - After four years of working, it was absndoned because it was found that the dual establishment for Provincial and Local Works was costly and involved a waste of power, that the District Boards did not secure a sufficiently good Engineering Staff and that there was no control by a superior supervision staff.

The defect of absence of control by a superior --- supervising staff over the Public Works of Local Bodies is common to nearly all provinces. Whilst the designs and estimates for all projects except petty works are subject to the technical scrutiny and approval of Superior Officers of the Public Works Department, these ---- officers exercise little or no supervision over construction. The evil-effects of the absence of this supervision are intensified by the employment of a poor subordinate staff. -- Although in some Provinces (e.g., Bengal, Behar and Orissa) the Superintending Engineer is ex-officio an inspector of works of local bodies, the evidence received by us shows that in practice he exercises little supervision".

"Copy"
20
5.11.37

N.J.P.

A P P E N D I X -- XVIII.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE LENGTHS OF DISTRICT BOARD ROADS IN EACH DISTRICT WITH THEIR LENGTHS AND GRANT AND THE AVERAGE EXPENDITURE OF EACH DISTRICT BOARD ON PUBLIC WORKS (A) and (B) CLASSES.

District.	Area in square-miles.	Length of district-fund roads	Total annual repair grants.	Average grant per mile.	Average expenditure-- by District Boards on -'A' Public Works manag-ed by the P.W.D.	Establishment & Tools and Plant Charges at 18% given to P.W.D. on the expendi-ture incurred.	Average expenditure-- by District Boards on 'B' Public Works.	Average Total expenditure on Public Works by District Boards (excluding Estt. and Tools & Plant---- Charges. (Total of Cols. 5 and 7).--
Bangalore.	3,061	456⅓	68,938	151	75.950	13,671	50,140	1,26,000
Mysore.	5,517	632⅜	70,780	112	96,628	17,393	27,590	1,24,218
Hassan.	2,641	444⅞	50,342	113	73,700	13,296	34,100	1,07,800
Kolar.	3,068	404	44,350	109	53,545	9,668	39,498	93,043
Tumkur.	4,154	536¾	54,087	101	66,989	12,058	22,549	89,538
Chitaldrug.	4,022	339¾	41,590	123	66,161	11,400	20,256	83,417
Shimoga.	4,009	423	39,470	93	55,262	9,948	6,082	61,344
Kadur.	2,793	289	26,945	91	33,611	6,380	2,875	36,486
Total.	29,265	3526¼	3,96,502	112	5,21346	93,814	2,03,090	7,24,936.

N.J.P.

5-11-37

LB 83-30-57

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read:

G.O. No.L.4972-91/L.B.83-30-40 dated 10th December 1930 appointing a Committee to consider the question of the District Boards being permitted to have their own Engineering Establishments and to submit its views to Government.

2. Letter No.5311 dated 7th November 1931 from the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., submitting the report of the Committee.

ORDER NO. L 1570-86 Dated 9th / 11th May 1932

During the October Session of the Representative Assembly in 1930, a resolution was moved by Mr. H.B.Gundappa Gowda that the District Boards might be allowed to have their own Engineering Establishments, and it was suggested that a small Committee might be constituted to examine the whole question and submit recommendations. Government accordingly appointed a Committee constituted as follows:

CHAIRMAN ... Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

MEMBERS
- Supdg. Engineer, Shimoga Circle
- Dy. Commr. Hassan District
- do. Kolar do
- Mr.H.B.Gundappa Gowda, B.A., B.L.
- " B.S.Puttaswami, B.A. B.L.

The Committee held four meetings and submitted their report to Government in Novr. 1931.

The main complaints of the District Boards about the existing system are summarised below:

(i) The District Boards are not able

59

to make out how the money transferred by them to the Public Works Department on account of District Board works is spent, and they have also no voice in checking the figures or scrutinising the work done, though the works are financed by them.

(ii) The Public Works Department does not pay as much attention to District Board works as to State Fund works.

(iii) The District Boards could supervise their own works more efficiently, and (iv) get them done more expeditiously and economically.

3. After considering all these points, the Committee have made the following observations in regard to them:

(i) In regard to point (i), they observe that Govt. have, in their Order No.L.4447-66/L.B.99-22-1 dated 16th December 1922, directed that the estimates for all District Board works to be carried out by the P.W.D. should be sent to the District Board Presidents for countersignature in token of approval, and that after the estimates have been sanctioned by competent authority, copies of abstracts of the estimates should be furnished to the Presidents for reference. A statement of monthly expenditure on each work is also required to be sent to the Presidents to keep them informed of the progress made.

(ii) The second complaint, the Committee observe, is of too sweeping a nature, and

cannot be substantiated.

(iii) As regards the third contention, namely viz., that the District Boards could supervise their works more efficiently than the Public Works Department, the Committee point out that the works would then lose the benefit of supervision by the higher officers of the department and would have to be executed entirely by comparatively junior officers. The alternative suggestion that the higher officers might, when required inspect the works and give their opinion would hardly serve the purpose, unless they were vested with direct responsibility.

(iv) Nor is the last contention accepted by the Committee, who state that if delays occur in the execution of Public works, they are invariably due to the observance of certain codal rules in regard to the selection of sites or the acquisition of land or the recovery of the quota due from local bodies. While it might be quite possible, if works were carried out by the District Boards under the proposed arrangement, to avoid delays to some extent in these matters, there could be no appreciable improvement in other respects unless the District Boards were to dispense with such necessary formalities and safeguards, as calling for tenders, and paying bills after check and audit.

That the District Boards could manage works more economically cannot also be admitted, as the establishment charges alone would be about 60 to 100% more than what

the District Boards are incurring at present.

4. The question of the entertainment of a separate Engineering establishment by the District Boards was fully discussed by the Committee. As such an arrangement would result in an increase in the establishment charges which would have to be borne by the District Boards, various schemes were considered, inclusive of those suggested by the non-official members, with a view to keeping down the expenditure on establishments as much as possible without detriment to efficiency. It was found that while the schemes proposed by the technical members of the Committee were considered too costly by the non-official members, those proposed by the latter could not also be adopted as there were great difficulties, both technical and administrative, in giving effect to them. In view, however, of the representations made on the subject from time to time and the opinion of the non-official members of the Committee that nothing short of the complete transfer of the establishment to the control of the District Boards would be satisfactory, the Committee have recommended that this arrangement may be tried in the Mysore District, and that for this purpose a separate Engineering Establishment costing Rs 30,200 per year may be sanctioned for a period of three years.

5. Government sympathise with the desire of the District Boards to manage their own Public Works and would have no objection in principle

62

principle to this, provided they were satisfied that there would be no material increase of cost to the District Boards by this arrangement, and that the execution of works would be ~~quite~~ satisfactory. The scale of establishment proposed by the Committee for the trial of the scheme in the Mysore District would not, in the opinion of Government, ~~not~~ be adequate to secure the satisfactory execution of works. Having regard to the fact that the P.W.Budget of the District Board is only about Rs.1,00,000/- even this scale means that expenditure on establishment would go up from 17½ to 30%. With an adequate establishment such as would, in the opinion of Government, sufficiently safeguard the Board's interests, the percentage of cost would mount up to about 40.

6. In these circumstances, Government consider that it would not be a proper application of public funds to run duplicate establishments at a heavy cost for attending to work that is being done by the State P.W.D. at present, and that it would not be expedient to try the scheme even as an experimental measure in one district as proposed by the Committee.

The existing arrangements will therefore continue, but the Chief Engineer, ~~should issue instructions to his officers~~ the Head of the Public Works Department will be invited to give the fullest possible information to the Dt. Boards in regard to Dt. Fund Works, as contemplated in the Order referred to in para 3 (i) supra.

Genl.Secy. to Govt.

The Chief Engineer of Mysore
The Comptroller to Government
The Presidents of Dt. Boards
The Deputy Commrs. of Districts
The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in Part I of the Gazette.

Government of his highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. L. 7570-86 –L. B. 83-30-51. dated 11th May 1932.

Engineering Establishments.

Passes orders on the question of the District Boards having their own -

---

READ—

Government Order No. L. 4972-91—L. B. 83-30-40, dated 10th December 1930, appointing a Committee to consider the question of the District Boards being permitted to have their own Engineering Establishment and to submit its views to Government.

2. Letter No. 5311, dated 7th November 1931, from the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, submitting the report of the Committee.

No L 7570-86—L. B. 83-30-51, DATED BANGALORE, THE 11TH MAY 1932

During the October Session of the Representative Assembly in 1930, a resolution was moved by Mr. H. B. Gundappagowda, that the District Boards might be allowed to have their own Engineering Establishments, and it was suggested that a small Committee might be constituted to examine the whole question and submit recommendations. Government accordingly appointed a Committee constituted as follows:-

Chairman	Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.
Members	Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle.
	Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.
	Do do Kolar District.
	Mr. H. B. Gundappagowda, B.A., B.L.
	Mr. B. S. Puttaswami, B.A., B.L.

The Committee held for meetings and submitted their report to Government in November 1931.

The main complaints of the District Boards about the existing system are summarised below:—

(*i*) The District Boards are not able to make out how the money transferred by them to the Public Works Department on account of District Board Works is spent, and they have also no voice in checking the figures or scrutinising the work done, though the works are financed by them.

(*ii*) The Public Works Department does not pay as much attention to District Board Works as to State Fund Works.

(*iii*) The District Boards could supervise their own works more efficiently, and

(*iv*) Get them done more expeditiously and economically.

3. After considering all these points, the Committee have made the following observations in regard to them:—

(*i*) In regard to point (*i*), they observe that Government have, in their Order No. L. 4447-66 —L. B. 99-22-1, dated 16th December 1922, directed that the estimates for all District Board Works to be carried out by the Public Works Department should be sent to the District Board Presidents for countersignature in token of approval, and that after the estimates have been sanctioned by competent authority, copies of abstracts of the estimates should be furnished to the Presidents for reference. A statement of monthly expenditure on each work is also required to be sent to the Presidents to keep them informed of the progress made.

(*ii*) The second complaint, the Committee observe, is of too sweeping a nature and cannot be substantiated.

(*iii*) As regards the third contention, namely, that the District Boards could supervise their works more efficiently than the Public Works Department, the Committee point out that the works would then lose the benefit of supervision by the higher Officers of the Department and would have to be executed entirely by comparatively Junior Officers. The alternative suggestion that the higher Officers might, when required, inspect the works and give their opinion would hardly serve the purpose unless they were vested with direct responsibility.

(c) Notice the [illegible] execution [illegible] Public Works [illegible] the selection of sites or the [illegible] While it might [illegible] arrangement to avoid [illegible] in other respects [illegible] safeguards existing for the [illegible]

That the District Boards [illegible] admitted, as the [illegible] than what the District Boards [illegible]

4. The question [illegible] the District Boards [illegible] would result in an [illegible] by the District Boards [illegible] by the non-official members [illegible] lishments [illegible] while the others [illegible] too costly by the non-[illegible] adopted as there were [illegible] effect to them. In view [illegible] time to time and the [illegible] short of the complete [illegible] would be [illegible] be tried in [illegible] Establishment existing [illegible] years.

5. Government [illegible] their own Public Works [illegible] they were [illegible] that [illegible] Boards by this arrangement, and that the execution of works would be satisfactory. The scale of establishment proposed by the Committee for the trial of the scheme in the Mysore District would not, in the opinion of Government, be adequate to secure the satisfactory execution of works. Having regard to the fact that the Public Works Budget of the District Board is only about Rs. 1,00,000, even this scale means that expenditure on establishment would go up from 17½ to 30 per cent. With an adequate establishment such as would, in the opinion of Government, sufficiently safeguard the Board's interests, the percentage of cost would amount up to about 45.

6. In these circumstances, Government consider that it would not be a proper application of public funds to run duplicate establishments at a heavy cost for attending to work that is being done by the State Public Works Department at present, and that it would not be expedient to try the scheme even as an experimental measure in one district as proposed by the Committee.

The existing arrangements will therefore continue, but the officers of the Public Works Department will be instructed to give fullest possible information to the District Boards in regard to District Fund Works, as contemplated in the order referred to in para 3 (i) *supra*.

H. V. RAMASVAMI,
Offg. *Secretary to Government,*
General Department.

To—The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government.
The Presidents of District Boards.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*.

Exd—P. S. R. N.

W D 2123—GPB—440—18-5-32.